Continuing Lecturer Evaluation Type:

Supervisor Name:

Name: Position Title: Heather Torrie Continuing Lecturer Mohammed Errihani Org Unit:

Evaluation Period:

2014

INSTRUCTION

| Percent of Effort: | TANDING PERFORMANCE (5) | EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE (4) | PERFORMANCE MEETS EXPECTATIONS (3) | PERFORMANCE NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2) | UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE(1) | RATING |
|--|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| methods classroor of instruct 75% and signicollege/n compara: Peer/sup | nents new pedagogical ds and technologies in the om. Student evaluations uction are consistently inificantly higher than the /national average for rable courses. upervisor evaluations of ag reflect excellence. | campus-wide digital learning nitiatives and faculty development activies related to eaching. Recognized locally for contributions to his/her academic field. Student evaluations of instruction are above the college/national everage for comparable courses. | Meets the expectations of instruction to update courses annually. Provides a positive learning environment conductive to student learning. Student evaluations of instruction are near college/national average for comparable courses. Peer/supervisor evaluations of | Extensive guicanous is needed to meet the requirements of position and related work. No evidence of student evaluation of teaching provided or student evaluations of instruction are below college/national average for comparable courses. Feedback from peer/supervisor evaluation of teaching are below average ratings for department (college | established course syllabus | 4 |

Examples/Justification to support ratings: End-of-semester course evaluations; Development of a new course, University Lecture Series elective (Spring and Fall 2014); Engaging and solid pedagogy (see attached). I have definitely enjoyed seeing you grow as a professional in the field of teaching. You have become one of the pillars of this program, not just in terms of teaching, but also in terms of engaging in other program activities that directly benefit the program and the university as a whole. Your students' evaluations have always been strong, and they are again in 2014, and your colleagues look up to you as someone with experience and expertise that they can turn to for assistance and guidance. You are an asset to this program, and I hope you will continue to be for a long time.

SERVICE, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND GOVERNANCE

| Percent of Effort: | OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE (5) | EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE (4) | PERFORMANCE MEETS EXPECTATIONS (3) | PERFORMANCE NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2) | UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE (1) | RATING |
|--------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------|
| 2370 | Engages in significant number of service activities | Engages in multiple professional development activities, committee memberships and community involvement initiatives. | more active | Participates in a professional | No evidence of professional development or service. | 4.5 |

Examples/Justification to support ratings: Presentations include Illinois TESQL-BE, Indiana TESQL, ELP Inservice; Article written for IEPIS Newsletter; Listening test format research completed (nearly finished writing up the article to submit for publication); Editor, ITBE Link; ELP Service include duties as CEA Self-Study Coordinator and Testing Coordinator (implemented a more comprehensive system of test analysis, coordinated piloting of new placement test). This is an area where you have definitely shown leadership and dedication. Your work on CEA has been exemplary, and your involvement in the profession through presentations and scholarship can set a good example for novice teachers. Well done.

OTHER UNIVERSITY DUTIES

| Percent of Effort: | OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE (5) | EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE (4) | PERFORMANCE MEETS EXPECTATIONS (3) | PERFORMANCE NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2) | UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE (1) | RATING |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | : | | |
| 0% | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Examples/Justification to s | upport ratings: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 그림 등 1층 스타일 등 모든 등 중심다. | | | 하는 일시 회사를 잃었다면 하는 맛있다. |

*Administrator may adjust percent of work effort assigned to each category based on outside funding or administrative assignment. No category outside of "other university duties" can be assigned an effort of "0".

Instruction Service Other

| Values | Weight | Overall |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| 4 | 75% | 3000 |
| 4.5 | 25% | 1.125 |
| 0 / 2 / 2 | 0% | 0 |

OVERALL 100%

100% 4.125

| other colleagues. Your t commitment to the succ | ecific to evaluation period: It has definitel eaching is strong, your scholarship is bec ess of ELP. You have made all sorts of co you do, and I would like to sincerely that | oming consistent, and your so ontributions to this program th | ervice to the program and the u nat are impossible to list here, I | iniversity in general shows lea | dership and |
|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| Employee comments (at | tach supporting documentation as necess | ary): | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Goals for next academ | iic year | | | | - |
| Indicate % weight | Goal | | | | |
| | Successfully finish the CEA accredit. Make more time to read up on current | | | nents for our midterm/final ex | ams; 3) |
| Signature acknowledges discu | ssion and receipt of performance evaluation and d | loes not necessarily imply agreemen | ot. | | • |
| Employee Signature: | Hest Z. | | Date: 2/18/15 | · | |
| Supervisor Signature: | 3 | | Date: 2/18/15 Date: 2/18/15 | | |
| 2 | | | | | |

and the control of th en de la proposición de la companya La companya de la co

and the second of the second o